

**Curriculum Vitae****Caleb M. Sturm, PSP, CCP, CCA**

Managing Director, Global Construction Practice

BRG

1230 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 2440 | Atlanta, GA 30309

Mobile: +1 404.520.2569 | Direct: +1 678.500.8813

csturm@thinkbrg.com

As of October 11, 2026

*According to Lexology Index: Mr. Sturm is “known for being extremely competent, knowledgeable, and reliable. He excels in delivering clear, well-structured expert opinions in construction disputes with his great subject matter expertise and top-quality work product.”*

**SUMMARY**

Based in Atlanta, Georgia, Mr. Caleb Sturm is a Managing Director in BRG’s Global Construction Practice. Mr. Sturm’s practice is centred on matters involving forensic schedule analyses and work disruption, labor productivity studies, quantum of monetary damages, claim formulation & review, and cost auditing. Mr. Sturm has performed forensic analyses on claims for delay and damages of up to \$2 billion and has significant experience providing written and verbal opinion testimony in arbitration and litigation proceedings.

Mr. Sturm has a demonstrable track record assisting in the successful resolution of claims prior to litigation or arbitration proceedings. Mr. Sturm can effectively collaborate with client personnel and perform analyses in the development of preliminary expert findings that are presented and relied upon during settlement conferences, formal mediations, meet-and-confer procedures, and negotiation discussions.

Mr. Sturm developed his industry experience and expertise through employment in contractor and owner capacities. Mr. Sturm has worked for construction contractors, design firms, and owners. In these capacities, Mr. Sturm performed project management, contract administration, CPM scheduling, cost controls, change management, claims management, and risk mitigation for multi-year capital programs. Mr. Sturm’s construction experience includes projects within the United States, Latin America, South America, and Europe.

In addition to his expert work, Mr. Sturm also consults with parties in the planning, programming, control, and management of project risk. His experience in prospective and forensic capacities allows him to uniquely anticipate risks and opportunities prior to and during each stage of the project life cycle. Mr. Sturm is advanced in the implementation of standard procedures for the delivery of multi-year capital projects.

Mr. Sturm holds a master’s degree in construction management, a bachelor’s degree in economics, and a bachelor’s degree in accounting. He has been recognized by industry organizations as a Planning & Scheduling Professional, a Certified Cost Professional, and a Certified Construction Auditor. Mr. Sturm is the Southeast Regional Director for the Society of Construction Law, an active member of the Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering, and the National Association of Construction Auditors.

## EDUCATION

M.Sc., Construction Management, University of Florida, 2012

B.A., Economics, Mississippi State University, 2004

B.Acc., Accounting, Mississippi State University, 2004

## PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATIONS

Certified Cost Professional (CCP): July 22, 2016, to Present

Planning & Scheduling Professional (PSP): July 29, 2020, to Present

Certified Construction Auditor (CCA): May 12, 2017, to Present

## REPRESENTATIVE PROJECT EXPERIENCE

### ENERGY

**LNG Facility Expansion, Golden Pass, Texas.** Mr. Sturm analyzed schedule, production, and cost information related to impacts after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020. The project experienced time and cost impacts due to procurement delays, social distancing, other additional health & safety protocols, and work-from-home mandates. Mr. Sturm collaborated with the contractor and engineer to quantify losses in engineering productivity, delays, and extended general conditions.

**Plant Vogtle, Unit 4:** At the request of the Georgia Public Service Commission, Mr. Sturm and his colleagues analyzed schedules, records, and cost information for the full duration of the project. Mr. Sturm led the analysis of the project's forecasted and actual costs. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues developed a report that quantified the incurred costs based on events and the decisions that were made throughout the project.

**Confidential Solar Field (350 MW), Denton County, Texas.** Mr. Sturm was requested by a renewable energy contractor to evaluate claims of alleged delay by an electrical subcontractor. Mr. Sturm analyzed project schedules, correspondence, change orders, and many other project records during the evaluation of the subcontractor's claim for procurement delays, design changes, and unforeseen conditions. Mr. Sturm presented his findings to the contractor for successful settlement discussions.

**Natural Gas Processing Facility, Atchafalaya Bay, Louisiana.** Mr. Sturm analyzed project records related to delays and cost overruns during the engineering and construction of a 250MMCFD onshore natural gas processing facility. Mr. Sturm analyzed thousands of records in connection with \$100 million in cost growth and over two years of schedule delay because of design changes and scope growth. Mr. Sturm provided his findings which were relied upon for depositions and settlement discussions.

**Hydroelectric Generation Plants, Midwest, USA. Various Locations.** Mr. Sturm assisted a contractor in the evaluation of over ninety change directives and change order requests, valued at \$120 million, related to the construction of four hydroelectric power stations across the Midwest United States. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues analyzed extensive project documentation as part of the quantification of the contractor's adjusted contract value. Mr. Sturm's analysis was included in an affirmative expert report.

**Confidential Solar Field (150 MW), Sheboygan County, Wisconsin.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by counsel on behalf of a utility to evaluate claims for delay, losses in productivity, out-of-scope work, and related additional incurred costs. Mr. Sturm performed a retrospective analysis of project schedules and records in connection with alleged design changes, differing site conditions, abnormal weather, and changes in scope. Mr. Sturm assisted in the preparation for successful mediation.

**Confidential Solar Field (75 MW), Jefferson County, Wisconsin.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by counsel on behalf of a utility to evaluate claims for delay, losses in productivity, out-of-scope work, and related additional incurred costs. Mr. Sturm performed a retrospective analysis of project schedules and records in connection with alleged design changes, differing site conditions, abnormal weather, and changes in scope. Mr. Sturm assisted in the preparation for successful mediation.

**Confidential Solar Field (70 MW), Surrey County, North Carolina.** Engaged by counsel on behalf of the project's owner to evaluate claims of delay and related damages from the project's EPC contractor. The contractor experienced various alleged events, including failed erosion control systems from abnormal weather that resulted in claimed costs and delay to overall completion. Mr. Sturm performed expert analyses and developed analytical materials for counsel during mediation.

**Various Confidential Solar Fields, Texas, Arizona, California.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by a renewable energy contractor to evaluate several projects that had experienced significant schedule delays and cost overruns. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues analyzed various project records, met with project and company personnel, and provided observations regarding the reasons for the delays and cost overruns. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues thereafter provided recommended best practices.

**Various Confidential Solar Fields, Northeast and Mid-Atlantic, USA.** Mr. Sturm and his colleagues assisted a private equity firm in performing due diligence as part of a potential acquisition. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues evaluated the contractor's internal processes, procedures, bids and budgeting, monthly cost forecasting, and the management of schedules. Mr. Sturm led the assessment of schedules for approximately fifty new solar fields and twenty re-power projects.

**Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation and Replacement Program, Atlanta, Georgia.** On behalf of a prime contractor for a multi-year capital improvement program, Mr. Sturm and his colleagues evaluated approximately \$45 million of asserted damages from the City of Atlanta. The asserted damages encompassed approximately twenty categories of alleged damages including non-compliance, incorrectly billed costs, and fraud. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues performed analyses that assisted counsel in reaching a settlement.

**Station 535/Wharton Lateral Extension Rebuild Project, Pennsylvania.** On behalf of the principal and surety, Mr. Sturm developed counterclaims and responsive expert opinions in connection with asserted damages of \$25 million from a project owner. The owner's asserted damages included replacement subcontractor costs, acceleration costs, and delay damages following the termination of the principal. Mr. Sturm assisted in the development of affirmative and rebuttal expert reports.

**20-Mile 24-inch Pipeline, Pennsylvania.** Engaged to analyze for delay(s) and quantify damages on behalf of a pipeline contractor, Mr. Sturm analyzed project and cost records in the quantification of \$20 million in additional costs comprised of losses in labor productivity, unapproved change orders related to scope changes and right-of-way mats during winter conditions, and corresponding delay damages. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues provided analytical materials relied upon during a successful mediation.

**36" Pipeline, Naperville, Illinois.** On behalf of a project owner, Mr. Sturm evaluated asserted time and cost claims from the project's general contractor. The contractor claimed damages due to change orders and unusually severe winter weather that resulted in alleged schedule delays and cost impacts. Mr. Sturm analyzed the contractor's claim and related project records, provided a responsive analysis, and participated in successful negotiations with the contractor.

## HEAVY INDUSTRY AND MINING

**Copper Smelting Plant, Confidential Location.** On behalf of the project's owner, Mr. Sturm analyzed schedules, project records, and conducted meetings with project personnel in the evaluation of several claims for delay and disruption from the general contractor and its subcontractors. Mr. Sturm provided his findings on an ongoing basis to the client regarding the potential entitlement under each of the respective claims and thereafter assisted the client in conducting negotiation discussions.

**Chlor-Alkali Processing Facility, Freeport, Texas.** On behalf of the project's owner, Mr. Sturm and his colleagues evaluated over \$120 million of asserted damages in connection with design changes and related procurement delays that led to directed acceleration, related losses in productivity, cumulative impacts, and extended general conditions. Mr. Sturm participated in affirmative and rebuttal expert analyses that were later relied upon as part of international arbitration proceedings.

**Concrete Production Facility, Texas.** On behalf of the general contractor, Mr. Sturm analyzed over 500,000 direct man-hours as part of a productivity analysis and \$75 million in additional construction costs related to purported delays and disruptions to the contractor's work. Mr. Sturm led the formulation of a counterclaim related to the expansion of the existing production facility because of scope changes and unforeseen conditions. Mr. Sturm's analysis was presented during mediation.

**Copper Mine, Peru.** On behalf of the project's electrical subcontractor in international arbitration, Mr. Sturm assisted in the quantification of the final subcontract value through the analysis of cost and project records, and subcontract provisions regarding costs eligible for reimbursement under the cost-plus subcontract. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues developed affirmative and responsive expert opinions regarding the cost of the work which were exchanged with the general contractor's expert valuation.

## TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE

**I-285 / SR 400 Interchange Expansion Project, Atlanta, Georgia.** Mr. Sturm and his team evaluated claims for delay, scope changes, loss of productivity, escalation, and other categories of alleged damage in connection with the design and construction of the I-285/SR 400 interchange. The project scope included the replacement of numerous bridges, new collector-distributor lanes, new interconnecting ramps, and mainline widening. Mr. Sturm analyzed the claims, issues, entitlements, and settlement opportunities.

**I-75 / I-16 Interchange Expansion Project, Macon, Georgia.** Mr. Sturm and his team evaluated claims for delay and scope changes in connection with the multi-year/multi-contract expansion and re-configuration project in Macon, Georgia. The scope included the full replacement of 20+ bridges, new interconnecting ramps, and mainline widening. Mr. Sturm and his team evaluated the claims, coordinated with project personnel, and met with claimant personnel to discuss the project, claims, and settlement opportunities.

**MOPAC Expressway Expansion, Austin, Texas.** On behalf of an EPC contractor, Mr. Sturm assisted in the development of a claim for delay and damages related to a 15-mile highway expansion. The project's scope included the construction of an express lane and twelve (12) new bridges. Mr. Sturm and his team worked with contractor personnel, analyzed project records, contracts, change orders, engineering drawings, and other documents in the development of a claim for delay that was submitted to the owner.

**US 441 / SR 7 Lane Addition and Widening Project, Miami, Florida.** Mr. Sturm and his team were engaged to analyze project records and schedules in connection with scope changes and damage caused to existing infrastructure and related delays due to re-procurement and re-installation. Mr. Sturm was also requested to quantify the additional costs incurred in connection with the delays. Mr. Sturm provided expert observations for the record and participated in settlement discussions.

**I-10 Widening Project, I-295 to I-95, Jacksonville, Florida.** Mr. Sturm was retained by the project's general contractor to perform a historical review of the project, including a review of schedules, change orders, project reports, budgets and forecasts, and make recommendations to the contractor regarding methods to improve standard practices and procedures in the management of work, schedules, and budgets. Mr. Sturm interviewed and worked with the project team to identify and implement improved internal procedures.

**I-95 Widening Project, South of Emerson Street to Atlantic, Jacksonville, Florida.** Mr. Sturm was retained by the project's general contractor to perform a historical review, including a review of schedules, change orders, project reports, budgets and forecasts, and make recommendations regarding methods to improve standard practices and procedures in the management of work, schedules, and budgets. Mr. Sturm interviewed and worked with the project team to identify and implement improved internal procedures.

**Confidential Bridge Replacement Project, Southwest Florida.** Mr. Sturm was retained by the project's general contractor to assist in the development of a claim for delay and lost productivity. Because of unforeseen site conditions, the project was delayed during the construction of the temporary work structures over water and during pile driving operations. Mr. Sturm worked with the project team and performed a retrospective delay analysis to determine the delays and related costs for which the contractor was entitled.

**Confidential Bridge Replacement Project, Northwest Florida.** Mr. Sturm was retained by the project's general contractor to assist in the development of a claim for delay. Because of delays from permitting and unforeseen site conditions, the project was delayed during the construction of the temporary work structures over work and during pile driving operations. Mr. Sturm worked with the project team and performed a delay analysis to determine the delays and related costs for which the contractor was entitled.

**I-495 (Long Island Expressway) Expansion, Long Island, New York.** Mr. Sturm assisted a general contractor in the development of an extension of time (EOT) request related to the resurfacing of existing bridges on the Long Island Expressway I-495. The contractor's work was delayed into winter, forcing the contractor to incur additional costs in connection with the installation of concrete. Mr. Sturm developed a formal extension of time request that was presented to the NYSDOT.

**Raising of the Bayonne Bridge, Staten Island, New Jersey/New York.** Engaged in a neutral third-party capacity on behalf of the respective court, Mr. Sturm participated in the cost audit of a pre-cast concrete supplier's claim, cost records and accounting system. Because of delays to the engineering phase of the project, the production of pre-cast concrete members was also delayed. The concrete contractor submitted a "total cost" claim because of the inability to replace the delayed work.

**US 1 Over I-20, South Carolina.** Mr. Sturm was retained by the project's general contractor to assess original project plans, budget, schedules, and scope, and perform a review of the evolution of the project. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues analyzed schedules, change orders, regular project reports, and cost data in the development of a historical profile of the project and the driving reasons for delays and cost overruns. Mr. Sturm provided his insights to the client for consideration and implementation into future projects.

**I-20 Over Savannah River, Georgia.** Mr. Sturm was retained by the project's general contractor to assess original project plans, budget, schedules, and scope, and perform a review of the evolution of the project. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues analyzed schedules, change orders, regular project reports, and cost data in the development of a historical profile of the project and the driving reasons for delays and cost overruns. Mr. Sturm provided his insights to the client for consideration and implementation into future projects.

## **GOVERNMENT BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES**

**Vehicle Assembly Building (VAB), Cape Canaveral, Florida.** In connection with the installation of new vehicle assembly platforms and the modernization of High Bay 3 for Space Launch System, Mr. Sturm worked with a structural steel fabricator and its erection subcontractor in the analysis and quantification of additional costs due to losses in productivity and delays because of numerous unforeseen conditions, subsequent change orders, and corresponding fabrication complications.

**Vehicle Assembly Building (VAB), Cape Canaveral, Florida.** In connection with the installation of new vehicle assembly platforms and the modernization of High Bay 3 for Space Launch System, Mr. Sturm audited costs and quantified extended general conditions costs incurred due to unforeseen conditions, subsequent change orders, and corresponding fabrication complications.

**United States Embassy Compound, Mexico City, Mexico.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by counsel for a general contractor in connection with critical path delays because of manpower and working restrictions mandated by the United States and Mexican governments during the early periods of the COVID-19 pandemic. Mr. Sturm performed a retrospective schedule and labor productivity analysis and presented his findings to the U.S. Department of State during a successful settlement conference.

**United States Embassy Compound, Confidential Location.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by counsel for a general contractor in connection with critical path delays and cumulative impacts stemming from defective engineering design and the untimely administration of changes. Mr. Sturm analyzed eight (8) years of CPM schedules and documentation in connection with over 200 changes that resulted in delays and disruptions to the contractor's work. Mr. Sturm assisted in the development of an REA.

**United States Embassy Compound, Confidential Location.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by counsel for a general contractor in connection with critical path delays sustained during the construction phase. The alleged delays stemmed from unforeseen conditions, change orders, and issues with engineering drawings. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues performed a retrospective analysis of project records in the assessment and allocation of critical path delays and the quantification of corresponding extended project overhead costs.

**United States Consulate Compound, Confidential Location.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by counsel for a general contractor in connection with critical path delays sustained during the construction phase. The alleged delays stemmed from unforeseen conditions, change orders, and issues with engineering drawings. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues performed a retrospective analysis of project records in the assessment and allocation of critical path delays and the quantification of corresponding extended project overhead costs.

**United States Consulate Compound, Confidential Location.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by counsel for a general contractor in connection with critical path delays sustained during the construction phase. The alleged delays stemmed from unforeseen conditions, change orders, and issues with engineering drawings. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues performed a retrospective analysis of project records in the assessment and allocation of critical path delays and the quantification of corresponding extended project overhead costs.

**New York State Armory, Manhattan, New York.** On behalf of the project's general contractor and surety, Mr. Sturm performed a retrospective analysis of the project schedules in connection with 3.5 years of delays and disrupted work because of unforeseen conditions, engineering and design changes, and related change orders. Mr. Sturm also quantified the additional costs and developed a comprehensive Request for Equitable Adjustment (REA) that was presented to the surety.

**P464 Aircraft Maintenance Facility, South Carolina.** On behalf of the project's general contractor and insurance carrier, Mr. Sturm performed a retrospective schedule analysis in connection with delays due to significant change orders issued from NAVFAC Southeast and concurrent subcontractor performance issues. Mr. Sturm developed work product regarding the delays and a quantification of additional costs that were presented to the parties during settlement discussions.

**Volar Barracks, Ft. Johnson, Louisiana.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by counsel for a subcontractor in connection with the renovation works of numerous barracks. Mr. Sturm was engaged to assess alleged delays in connection with unforeseen site conditions, issues with engineering design drawings, logistical challenges, fabrication and procurement considerations, and other items. Mr. Sturm was requested to perform an analysis and allocate delays and cost overruns to the responsible party.

**Brookhaven Public Safety Building, Atlanta, Georgia.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by a general contractor in connection with delays in site access, permitting, civil design changes after the start of construction, and inclement winter weather conditions. Mr. Sturm performed a retrospective schedule analysis and formulated a Request for Equitable Adjustment (REA) that was presented to the project's owner. The contractor thereafter received a corresponding compensable time extension.

**Camp Guernsey Military Facilities, Wyoming.** On behalf of a general contractor and insurance carrier, Mr. Sturm performed a retrospective schedule and cost analysis in connection with the default and termination for cause of two subcontractors responsible for the installation of interior framing and drywall within new training and billeting facilities. Mr. Sturm analyzed project schedules and cost records to quantify critical path delays and additional costs incurred because of the terminations.

**Barksdale Air Force Base, Shreveport, Louisiana.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by counsel for the project's design-builder to formulate expert opinions regarding delays in connection with alleged differing site conditions and the failure of NAVFAC Southeast to provide a geotechnical report. Mr. Sturm interviewed project personnel; analyzed schedules, various project records, expert reports, provided an expert report; and assisted counsel in the preparation of fact and expert depositions.

**Vehicle Maintenance and Repair Center, Blount Island, Florida.** On behalf of the project's general contractor, Mr. Sturm quantified additional costs incurred due to delays, acceleration, delay claims from subcontractors, and losses in productivity related to the recoating and surfacing of the facility's structural steel system. Mr. Sturm developed affirmative and rebuttal opinions that were submitted to NAVFAC Southeast in connection with alleged defective specifications and differing site conditions.

**Utah Data Center, Utah.** On behalf of an electrical subcontractor, Mr. Sturm and his colleagues performed an affirmative and responsive analysis of asserted damages from the project's general contractor. The project experienced delays because of design changes and technical issues during the installation of the electrical systems. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues performed an analysis of the purported damages, supporting documentation, and other expert opinions regarding the delays.

**TC Dock Repairs, Joint Base Charleston, South Carolina.** Mr. Sturm was retained by counsel for the design-build contractor to the USACE and directed to perform a retrospective analysis regarding the performance of the project's primary subcontractor. Mr. Sturm was directed to develop and render expert opinions regarding the causes and corresponding effects of work delays, the quantification of time-related costs, and costs of labor supplementation incurred by the design-build contractor.

## **COMMERCIAL HIGH-RISE AND HOSPITALITY**

**Marco Island Lanai Expansion, Marco Island, Florida.** Mr. Sturm was requested to analyze assertions of delay related to the work of a structural steel subcontractor. Mr. Sturm analyzed and responded to affirmative expert reports from the owner, general contractor, and concrete subcontractor concerning critical path delays caused by changes, errors and omissions, and construction issues. Mr. Sturm assisted counsel with expert depositions and a successful non-binding arbitration.

**Vista Brooklyn, Jacksonville, Florida.** Mr. Sturm was retained by counsel for a flooring supplier to evaluate and render expert opinions in connection with alleged defective flooring failures that resulted in claims for delay and extra work. Mr. Sturm and his team analyzed project records, schedules, and expert reports in the development of rebuttal opinions regarding the extent of critical path delays caused by flooring replacement. Mr. Sturm provided an expert report regarding delays and damages, then gave testimony in a deposition.

**Katerra Construction Company Bankruptcy.** Following Katerra's filing for bankruptcy in June of 2021, Mr. Sturm led a team of consultants in the evaluation of approximately 1,200 claims from developers, subcontractors, and material suppliers that asserted amounts owed from Katerra. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues evaluated and coordinated the settlement of claims for delay, extended general conditions, lost productivity, lost profits, and others related to Katerra's bankruptcy filing.

**Tampa Heights, Tampa, Florida.** On behalf of an insurance carrier, Mr. Sturm was requested to perform a retrospective schedule analysis to assess and quantify alleged critical path delays related to the termination of a curtainwall subcontractor. Mr. Sturm analyzed the general contractor's documents, schedules, and claim for approximately six (6) months of critical path delay due to out-of-specification materials that had to be re-fabricated and installed by an alternative subcontractor.

**One St. Petersburg, St. Petersburg, Florida.** On behalf of a surety, Mr. Sturm was requested to evaluate claims from the obligee in connection with the default and termination of a subcontractor. Mr. Sturm analyzed schedules, cost, and other project records and quantified amounts incorrectly claimed, excessive manpower, overhead "double-dipped," and incorrect profit calculations. Mr. Sturm developed materials at the request of counsel that were presented during a successful mediation.

**One Hotel, Manhattan, New York.** On behalf of the project owner, Mr. Sturm and his colleagues performed a cost audit related to the conversion of a residential property into a hospitality facility in Manhattan, New York. The project experienced significant cost overruns arising out of unforeseen conditions, architectural and design changes, and contractor administration and productivity issues. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues completed the audit and provided a report of findings to the owner.

**Hotel Archer, Florham Park, New Jersey.** At the request of an insurance carrier, Mr. Sturm performed a critical-path delay analysis in connection with defaulted subcontractors and the delayed completion of the hotel. The subcontractors provided insufficient manpower and installed deficient work that required replacement, causing to the critical path delays. Mr. Sturm retrospectively analyzed schedules and quantified the number of days of delay each subcontractor caused.

**Panorama Tower, Miami, Florida.** Mr. Sturm and his colleagues performed a cost audit of a general contractor's cost records in connection with the construction of the 85-story tower in the Brickell district of Miami. The project experienced significant schedule delays and related cost growth of approximately \$100 million in engineering and construction costs. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues met with project personnel during site visits and conducted various analyses during the engagement.

**Mixed Use Hospitality Facility, Manhattan, New York.** On behalf of the project owner, Mr. Sturm performed an audit related to the conversion of a residential property into a \$240 million mixed-use hospitality and residential facility in Manhattan, New York. Due to significant cost overruns and schedule delays, the client requested information regarding the significant change orders and a determination of responsible parties. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues provided a report of findings.

**41-Story Commercial High-Rise, Chicago, Illinois.** Mr. Sturm assisted in the development of affirmative and rebuttal opinions related to claims for delay and productivity loss. The concrete subcontractor alleged \$18 million in damages due to design errors and omissions that forced a change in the means and methods of concrete installation for each of the 41 levels. The alleged damages included productivity losses, extended general conditions, and unapproved change orders.

**Residences at The Finery, Nashville, Tennessee.** On behalf of an electrical subcontractor, Mr. Sturm was requested to perform a retrospective schedule and manpower analysis. Mr. Sturm was also requested to evaluate impacts to the scope and schedule of the electrical subcontractor and estimated and actual manpower levels during an extended period of the subcontractor's performance. Mr. Sturm also evaluated contemporaneous correspondence and provided his preliminary findings.

**Villas at Ridgeview, Olathe, Kansas.** Engaged by counsel for the project's owner, Mr. Sturm and his colleagues analyzed project schedules and records, then quantified the overall delay to completion of the project. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues performed the analysis and quantified contractual liquidated damages due to the owner based on the delay to completion. Upon completion of the analysis Mr. Sturm prepared and signed an expert report with a colleague.

## **INSTITUTIONAL**

**Falcon Cove Elementary School, Weston, Florida.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by counsel for the project's general contractor in connection with multiple unforeseen conditions and other time impacts that resulted in delays and extended general conditions. Mr. Sturm was asked to quantify the additional time-related costs incurred by the general contractor because of the delays based on unit rates and a daily rate from actual costs.

**Auburn University STEM + AG Complex, Auburn, Alabama.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by an electrical subcontractor to analyze original and revised schedules, contracts, change orders, and performed work in the evaluation of the contractor's productivity. Mr. Sturm conducted several interviews with project personnel and analyzed the original and revised work sequencing in the analysis of the contractor's productivity. Mr. Sturm provided ongoing advisory services to the contractor.

**North Carolina Central University, Durham, North Carolina.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by the project's owner to quantify the revised contract values for the project's general contractor. Mr. Sturm and his team analyzed contracts, change orders, proposed change orders, and other project records in the determination of amounts paid to the general contractor and amounts outstanding. Mr. Sturm met with project personnel and conducted several meetings before providing his findings to the client.

**Mary Devine Elementary School, Croydon, Pennsylvania.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by counsel for an electrical subcontractor and a surety in connection with claims for schedule delay and constructive acceleration. Mr. Sturm analyzed project and cost records in the quantification of labor inefficiencies, extended general conditions, and asserted back-charges due to the delayed work. Mr. Sturm provided affirmative and responsive expert opinions and testified in arbitration proceedings.

**Towson University, Burdick Hall, Baltimore, Maryland.** On behalf of a surety, Mr. Sturm performed a retrospective schedule and cost analysis in connection with the work of an MEP subcontractor. Mr. Sturm provided expert opinions related to significant delays and disruptions due to changes in scope and work sequencing that forced the subcontractor to incur additional costs. Mr. Sturm provided expert opinions regarding the delays and additional incurred costs and assisted with mediation.

**STEM Lab, Miami, Florida.** On behalf of the general contractor and insurance carrier, Mr. Sturm retrospectively analyzed project schedules and cost records in connection with a terminated structural subcontractor. Mr. Sturm found that the project had experienced over eleven (11) months of critical path delay caused by the structural subcontractor. Mr. Sturm assisted the carrier in the settlement of the claim from the general contractor for costs of extended general conditions and supplementation.

**Texas A&M Applied Sciences Building, Tarleton, Texas.** On behalf of the general contractor, Mr. Sturm evaluated claims for delay from a concrete subcontractor in connection with alleged delays during excavation and foundation work. As a result of the alleged delays, the subcontractor claimed additional costs related to extended general conditions and acceleration. Mr. Sturm and his colleagues performed a retrospective schedule analysis in the development of expert reports.

**Cornell Tech., New York, New York.** In relation to the construction of the First Academic Building on Roosevelt Island, Mr. Sturm and his colleagues performed a retrospective schedule analysis and quantified additional costs incurred. Mr. Sturm assisted in the development of an expert report that found significant delays to work progress caused by engineering errors and omissions. Mr. Sturm also assisted colleagues in the preparation for expert depositions and subsequent trial proceedings.

**State University of New York Academic Building School of Public Health, Brooklyn, New York.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by a mechanical subcontractor to perform a retrospective schedule and cost analysis in connection with a significantly delayed project. Mr. Sturm provided a written report to the general contractor, including a quantification of additional costs, that demonstrated the time and cost impacts from the various unforeseen conditions and design changes to the subcontractor's work.

**University of Albany, State University of New York, Campus Center Expansion.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by a concrete subcontractor in connection with concrete work that was significantly delayed and disrupted due to unforeseen conditions, design changes, and inclement winter weather. Mr. Sturm performed a retrospective schedule analysis and a quantification of additional direct and indirect costs incurred. Mr. Sturm provided his findings which counsel relied upon during mediation.

**Brooklyn College Performing Arts Center, Brooklyn, New York.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by counsel for a structural steel subcontractor to analyze project records in connection with a significantly delayed start to the subcontractor's work. Mr. Sturm performed a retrospective schedule analysis and quantified the additional costs incurred because of permitting issues, a stop work order, and changes in the design. Mr. Sturm presented his expert findings to the parties during a settlement conference.

## **HEALTHCARE & RETIREMENT**

**Assisted Living Facility, Boynton Beach, Florida.** Retained by counsel and the project's general contractor, Mr. Sturm performed a retrospective schedule analysis for a project that was approximately 1.5 years delayed in completion. Because of alleged differing site conditions and numerous design changes, Mr. Sturm and his colleagues analyzed project schedules and related records, then quantified the critical path impacts and the corresponding time-related costs.

**W.G. (Bill) Hefner VA Medical Center, Salisbury, North Carolina.** Retained by counsel for the project's general contractor, Mr. Sturm performed a retrospective schedule analysis in connection with a project that was delayed 3.5 years because of alleged differing site conditions and corresponding change orders. Mr. Sturm provided analytical materials that were provided to counsel and presented during a successful mediation with the Department of Veterans Affairs.

**James A. Hailey Veterans Hospital Expansion, Tampa, Florida.** Mr. Sturm assisted a structural steel subcontractor in the development of a claim for delay and lost productivity in connection with alleged defective specifications and related change orders. Mr. Sturm interviewed project personnel, analyzed various records, project schedules, and quantified the causes and related effects of the delay. Mr. Sturm also quantified the additional time-related costs and losses in labor productivity.

**Peachtree Hills Place, Buckhead, Georgia.** Mr. Sturm was retained by counsel for a subcontractor to perform a retrospective schedule analysis and quantify amounts earned and unpaid in connection with preceding delays and changes in work sequencing. Mr. Sturm was also requested to develop observations regarding back-charges asserted by the project's general contractor. Mr. Sturm submitted an affirmative expert report and assisted counsel with successful settlement efforts.

**Healthcare and Rehabilitation Center, Ocala, Florida.** Retained by counsel for the project's general contractor, Mr. Sturm performed a retrospective schedule analysis in the formulation of a claim for additional time and related costs. Because of alleged differing site conditions and numerous change orders, Mr. Sturm analyzed impacts to the project's critical path across two years of schedule updates. Mr. Sturm also quantified additional incurred costs and assisted in settlement discussions.

**Senior Living Facility, Houston, Texas.** At the request of an insurance carrier, Mr. Sturm evaluated a claim from the general contractor (insured) in connection with a significant water leak that occurred during a stop work order that required the general contractor to repair various lift systems that had previously been installed. Mr. Sturm analyzed the contract, policy provisions, loss documentation, and cost records in the quantification of costs that the general contractor was entitled to recover.

## **WATER TREATMENT**

**Wastewater Treatment Plant, New York, New York.** On behalf of a prime contractor, Mr. Sturm assisted in the formulation of a claim for delays and damages. The project's schedule was delayed approximately five (5) years due to unforeseen conditions, design changes, and other scope additions that, together, resulted in losses in productivity, labor and material escalation, and costs of extended general conditions. Mr. Sturm assisted in developing a claim that was submitted to the owner.

**Croton Water Treatment Plant, Bronx, New York.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by a mechanical subcontractor to analyze and quantify costs incurred in connection with delays of approximately eight (8) years. The work was delayed due to numerous unforeseen conditions and related design changes, forcing the subcontractor to incur additional costs related to material, labor, and equipment escalation, extended general conditions, and unabsorbed home office overhead.

**Tallman Island Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade, New York, New York.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by a mechanical subcontractor to quantify costs incurred in connection with delays of approximately eight (8) years. The work was delayed due to numerous unforeseen conditions and related design changes, forcing the subcontractor to incur additional costs related to material, labor, and equipment escalation, extended general conditions, and unabsorbed home office overhead.

**E. 91st Marine Transfer Station, Manhattan, New York.** Mr. Sturm was engaged by a mechanical subcontractor to quantify costs incurred in connection with delays of approximately three (3) years. The work was delayed due to numerous unforeseen conditions and related design changes, forcing the subcontractor to incur additional costs related to material, labor, and equipment escalation, extended general conditions, and unabsorbed home office overhead.

## **ATHLETIC, RETAIL, ENTERTAINMENT, AND RESIDENTIAL**

**Vanderbilt University, Football Stadium Expansion, Nashville, Tennessee.** On behalf of an electrical subcontractor, Mr. Sturm worked with project personnel to identify and quantify impacts and delays that caused a compression and resulting direct acceleration of its work. Mr. Sturm also worked with project personnel in the quantification of additional costs of the acceleration and lost productivity.

**University of Missouri Football Stadium Expansion, Columbia, Missouri.** On behalf of an insurance carrier, Mr. Sturm evaluated purported delays, disruptions, and cost impacts caused by a defaulted curtainwall subcontractor. Mr. Sturm analyzed project schedules, project reports, and cost records in the quantification of critical path delays, time-sensitive costs, and other direct costs arising from the default in performance. Mr. Sturm assisted the parties to reach a resolution of the claim.

**Kaufman Astoria Studios, Queens, New York.** Mr. Sturm evaluated a claim for delay and related alleged damages from a general contractor in connection with the default and termination of a structural steel subcontractor. Due to design, fabrication, and installation errors, the general contractor terminated the steel subcontractor. Mr. Sturm analyzed project schedules and claims asserted by the general contractor that included extended general conditions and other direct costs.

**Sweet Lake Villas, Clewiston, Florida.** Mr. Sturm was retained by counsel in connection with alleged damages and delays arising from changes and other unforeseen conditions. Mr. Sturm and his associates analyzed project records and performed a preliminary analysis of impacts, project timelines, and actual cost records. Mr. Sturm was designated as an expert witness to testify but the matter settled prior to disclosures.

**Private Residence, Naples, Florida.** Mr. Sturm was retained by counsel on behalf of a homeowner to assess schedule impacts, related delays, and cost overruns in connection with the design and construction of a private residence. The project took 2 years longer and incurred significant cost overruns. Mr. Sturm was retained to perform a delay analysis to allocate responsibility for the delays and the cost overruns.

**Private Residence, Atlanta, Georgia.** Mr. Sturm was retained by counsel for a homeowner to assess schedule impacts, related delays, and cost overruns in connection with the design and renovation/addition of a private residence. Mr. Sturm was requested to perform an audit of the invoiced costs and assess the progress of work performance over time in the allocation of responsibility for the time and cost overruns.

**Private Residence, Atlanta, Georgia.** Mr. Sturm was retained by counsel for a homeowner to assess schedule impacts, related delays, and cost overruns in connection with the design and renovation/addition of a private residence. Mr. Sturm was requested to perform an audit of the invoiced costs and assess the progress of work performance over time in the allocation of responsibility for the time and cost overruns.

**Private Residence, Naples, Florida.** Mr. Sturm was retained by counsel on behalf of a homeowner to assess schedule impacts, related delays, and cost overruns in connection with the design and construction of a private residence. Mr. Sturm was requested to perform an audit of the invoiced costs and assess the progress of work performance over time in the allocation of responsibility for the time and cost overruns.

**Retail and Commercial Facility, Atlanta, Georgia.** Retained by counsel for the insurer of the facility's owner, Mr. Sturm evaluated the general contractor's performance and turnover of the project that involved the renovation of an existing facility. Because of coordination errors and malfunctioning detection and reporting systems, the fire protection system caused significant flooding. Mr. Sturm was requested to render expert opinions regarding the general contractor's standard of care.

**Overtime Center of Excellence, Atlanta, Georgia.** Mr. Sturm was retained by the general contractor to analyze cost records and perform a final accounting of the project. Mr. Sturm was also requested to analyze contract provisions, changes in scope, and render expert opinions regarding a claim for damages from the project owner in connection with the termination of the general contractor. Mr. Sturm formulated expert opinions that were presented in an expert report and a deposition.

## **RISK MANAGEMENT AND PROJECT ADVISORY**

- 300,000 square feet, 100-year-old building renovation, \$80 million, Atlanta, Georgia
- 150,000-square-foot senior-citizen assisted-living facility; \$65 million, Atlanta, Georgia
- 306-unit, 6 level luxury mixed-use facility, Atlanta, Georgia
- Confidential Data Center, Atlanta, Georgia
- 120-mile 36" natural gas pipeline replacement program; multiple years (\$100 million), Chicago, Illinois

- 45 miles 36” natural gas pipeline replacement program; multiple years (\$800 million), Chicago, Illinois
- 25 miles 22” and 36” natural gas pipeline replacement project; \$25 million, Chicago, Illinois
- Multi-site Environmental Remediation program, \$100 million, United States and Latin America
- Waste-Water Treatment Plant Modernization and Expansion, \$300 million, Dade County, Florida
- Solar-field EPC Contractor, \$200 million+, Texas, California, Illinois
- Confidential Data Center, Fayetteville, Georgia

## PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering (AACE)

Society of Construction Law, North America (SCL-NA)

National Association of Construction Auditors (NACA)

Associated General Contractors of America (AGC)

Construction Owners Association of America (COAA)

## INDUSTRY LEADERSHIP

Institute for Energy Law, Board of Advisors: May 2022 to March 2024

Society of Construction Law, North America, Southeast Regional Director: May 2021 to Present

Associated General Contractors, Leadership Council: August 2019 to June 2022

National Association of Construction Auditors, Atlanta Chapter Director: August 2019 to Present

## PUBLICATIONS AND SPEAKING ENGAGEMENTS

1. “Empirical Productivity Impacts of the Novel Coronavirus.” Presentation to the State Bar of Georgia Construction Section, November 2020.
2. “Topics in Construction Scheduling and Delays.” Presentation to Atlanta Chapter of the AACE, October 2021.
3. “Rule 30(b)(6) Depositions. Pitfalls and Tales from the Front Lines.” Society of Construction Law, North America. November 2021. Moderator.
4. “Empirical Productivity Impacts of the Novel Coronavirus.” Construction Industries of Massachusetts. November 2021.
5. “Sitework and Foundations.” Presentation included in the American Bar Association’s “Sticks and Bricks” program. November 2023.
6. “Construction Productivity and Methodologies.” Construction Industry Summit. December 2023.

7. “Artificial Intelligence: Applications in Construction Law.” Construction Industry Summit. December 2024.
8. “Solar Field Construction Disputes are Heating Up – How Utilities and Contractors Can Manage Cost Overruns and Schedule Delays.” BRG ThinkSet Magazine, Summer 2025 Edition.
9. “Hidden Headaches: How to Spot Potential Litigation Issues in Regular Reporting and Mundane Construction Records.” ABA Litigation Section, January 2026.
10. “Brace for Impact: How Construction Teams Can Stay Ahead of Tariff Uncertainties, Supply Chain Disruption, and Potential Disputes.” BRG ThinkSet Magazine, Summer 2026 Edition.

## EXPERT REPORTS AND WITNESS TESTIMONY

### *Reverse chronological order*

- James Dolan and Patricia Dolan v Innovative Construction Solutions, LLC, and BCB Homes, Inc. In the Circuit Court of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit in and for Collier County, Florida. Case No. 24-CA-001656. September 15, 2026 (expert report).
- Sauer Construction, LLC f/k/a Sauer Incorporated v. United Structures of Georgia, LLC, et al. In the United States District Court Middle District of Florida Jacksonville Division. Case No. 3:24-cv-00943-WWB-PDB. May 29, 2026 (expert report); August 19, 2026 (deposition).
- Brasfield & Gorrie, LLC and HP-BDG 200 Riverside, LLC v. Mohawk Industries, Inc. In the Circuit Court of the Fourth Judicial Circuit in and for Duval County, Florida. Case No. 2022-CA-001793. January 14, 2025 (expert report); January 16, 2026 (deposition); January 30, 2026 (trial testimony).
- OHL Building, Inc., n/k/a OHLA Building, Inc. v. The School Board of Broward County, Florida. In the Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit in and for Broward County, Florida. Case No. CACE-22-008804(02). November 30, 2025 (expert report), January 9, 2026 (deposition).
- Carr Construction, LLC v. Community Asphalt Corporation, et al. Circuit Court of the Fifteenth Judicial Circuit in and for Palm Beach County, Florida. Case Number 50-2020-CA-004215-XXXX-MB. December 2025 (expert report) and July 2026 (deposition), September 2026 (trial hearings).
- SOLV Energy, LLC v. Crawfish River Solar Construction, LLC. American Arbitration Association. Expert report prepared for mediation purposes. November 2024 (expert report).
- Overtime Elite, LLC v. Andrew Gumper; AG Light and Sound, Inc., AG Production Services, Inc., and DOE Corporations 1-100. United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. Atlanta Division. Case No. 1:22-CV-04143-TWT. October 2024 (expert report), November 2024 (deposition).
- Underwater Mechanix Services, LLC v. SES Civil and Environmental, LLC. American Arbitration Association Case No. 01-23-0004-4239. October 2024 (affirmative and rebuttal expert reports).
- Engineering Design Technologies, Inc. v. Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals. ASBCA Nos. 62695, 62696, 62697, and 62698, Consolidated with 62626. February 2024 (expert report) and March 2024 (deposition).

- OHL USA, Inc., a Delaware Corporation v. Old Republic Surety Company, a Wisconsin Insurance Company. United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. Case No. 1:23cv20991. December 2023 (expert report).
- Cives Corporation, d/b/a Cives Steel Company Southern Division v. Austin Commercial, LP; Marco Hotel, LLC; and Speedy Concrete Cutting of Central Florida, Inc., et al. Circuit Court of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit in Collier County, Florida. Case No. 2018-CA-1623. December 2022 (expert report), January 2023 (expert report), March 2023 (deposition).
- Murphy VRF, LLC v. Barsto Construction, Inc., The Hanover Insurance Company. United States District Court for the District of Kansas. Case No. 21-2505-DDC-ADM. June 2022 (expert report).
- National Lumber Company v. New South Construction Company, Inc. and Hartford Fire Insurance Company. AAA Case No. 01-20-0007-2489. January 2022 (expert report).
- Starr Indemnity & Liability Company v. Ordner Construction Company, et al., Superior Court of Fulton County State of Georgia. Civil Action File No. 2018CV308055. April 2020 (deposition).
- Greco Structures, LLC v. BE&K Building Group, LLC, et al. American Arbitration Association Case No. 01-18-0004-0348. October 2019 (expert report).
- Fromm Electric Supply Corp. of Reading, Penna. v. MBR Construction Services, Inc., et al., Court of Common Pleas, Berks County, Pennsylvania. Civil Action No. 17-01252. March and April 2021 (arbitration hearings).
- Henry H. Lewis Contractors, LLC v. MBR Construction Services, Inc., et al., United States District Court for the District of Maryland. Civil Action No. JKB-18-2199. January 2019 (expert report).

## EXPERT WITNESS DESIGNATION

### *Reverse chronological order*

- Community Asphalt Corporation v. Acme Barricades, L.C. Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, Broward County, Florida. Case No. CACE-22-000388. June 2023 designation.
- Caddell Construction Co. (DE), LLC, v. US Department of State. United States Civilian Board of Contract Appeals Case Nos. 7541 and 7626. April 2023 designation. Settlement at a settlement conference in June 2023.
- Clyde Johnson Contracting & Roofing, Inc. v. Sweet Lake Villas. Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, Broward County, Florida. Case No. CACE-21-017273. June 2023 designation.
- C.D. Moody Construction Company, Inc. v. UA Associates I LLC. American Arbitration Association Case No. 012100021773. June 2022 designation. October 2022 designation. Settled at mediation.
- Dynasty Stainless Steel & Metal Industries, Inc. v. Hill International, Inc., United States District Court Eastern District of New York. Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-02345-RPK-RLM. December 2020.

- KAST Construction III, LLC v. MIK, LLC; and The Guarantee Company of North America. Circuit Court of the 6th Judicial Circuit, Pinellas County, Florida, Case No. 18-003568-CI. October 2019 designation. Settled at mediation.